DOI: 10.35772/ghm.2020.01059

# Liver resections between 2014 and 2020 in the Lausanne University Hospital, Switzerland

Kosuke Kobayashi, Emilie Uldry, Nicolas Demartines, Nermin Halkic\*

Department of Visceral Surgery, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

**Abstract:** Lausanne University Hospital is in the Francophone part of Switzerland and services a catchment population of about 1 million people. We recorded and analyzed baseline characteristics and surgical outcomes for 400 consecutive patients who underwent liver resection there between January 2014 and February 2020. Their pathological results were primary liver cancer (including hepatocellular carcinoma and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma): 21.8%, extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (including perihilar cholangiocarcinoma and gallbladder cancer): 5.3%, liver metastases: 51.8%, echinococcosis: 10.8%, adenoma: 3.0%, and other diagnoses: 7.5%. Global morbidity rate (Clavien-Dindo classification  $\geq$  1) was 45.5% with major complication (Clavien-Dindo classification  $\geq$  3) identified in 81 patients (20.3%). Of the 400 patients, two died within 30 days of surgery (0.5%) and five died within 90 days (1.3%). The 2017-2019 subgroup had a significantly greater percentage of patients aged  $\geq$  75 years (20.5%) than did the 2014-2016 subgroup (10.9%; *p* = 0.011) and a higher percentage of laparoscopic procedures than the earlier subgroup (2014-2016: 9.2%, 2017-2019: 32.5%; *p* < 0.001). We conclude that as the patient population ages, preoperative management and surgical techniques should be constantly improved.

Keywords: liver cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, liver metastases, liver resection

# Introduction

Switzerland is a relatively small country, with 8.54 million inhabitants. It has a high longevity rate. Lausanne University Hospital is located in the Francophone part of Switzerland, with a catchment population of about 1 million people.

Overall age-standardized cancer mortality is about 140/10,000 in men and 85/10,000 in women. Among causes of cancer-related deaths worldwide, liver cancers rank fifth in men and ninth in women (1). Colon, rectum and anal cancers have high mortality rates in both men and women in Switzerland (1), approximately 30% of patients present with synchronous or metachronous liver metastasis during their disease course. Both primary liver cancer and liver metastases are typically treated with liver resection.

Switzerland is also an endemic area of alveolar echinococcosis (AE), a zoonotic tapeworm disease caused by *Echinococcus multilocularis* (2-4). Carnivores (mainly red foxes in urban areas) serve as definitive hosts for adult tapeworms and their herbivorous prey (mainly rodents) acts as intermediate hosts for metacestodes. Humans are generally not directly involved in the transmission but can become accidental hosts. As the parasite growth pattern resembles a malignant tumor, treatment of AE often requires interventional radiology, liver surgery and antiparasitic chemotherapy (5,6).

As surgical techniques, multimodal strategies and perioperative management have improved, liver surgery has become safer. Mortality rates have decreased from 10-20% to near zero in the past two decades (7-11), which has allowed safer resections even in elderly patients (12, 13). In particular, laparoscopic liver resections have dramatically increased in the past decade (14, 15).

Here, we report the characteristics and results of liver resections between 2014-2020, in the Department of Visceral Surgery at Lausanne University Hospital, Switzerland.

# Methods

This retrospective study was approved by the local ethics committee and registered (registration number CER-VD 2020-00968). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

## Patient selection

We recorded and analyzed baseline characteristics and surgical results of the 400 consecutive patients who underwent liver resections at Lausanne University Hospital between January 2014 and February 2020.

## Preoperative management

Preoperative assessment included routine clinical and laboratory examinations (hematology, clinical chemistry, liver function tests, tumor markers, coagulation), volumetric computed tomography (CT) to manage surgical strategy (including need for preoperative portal vein embolization), and characterize the future remnant liver.

Chest and abdominal contrast-enhanced CT and magnetic resonance imaging with Gd-EOB-DTPA (Bayer Schering Pharma, Berlin, Germany) were also routinely performed. Therapeutic strategies were discussed in weekly multidisciplinary tumor board meetings. Major hepatectomies were defined as resections of three or more Couinaud's segments. Since July 2013, all patients scheduled for liver resections in our institution were enrolled in our enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) program (*16*).

### Postoperative data and patient follow-up

We defined 1- and 3-month morbidity and mortality as postoperative complications and death within 30 days and 90 days after surgery, respectively. Postoperative complications were staged using the Clavien-Dindo classification (17). Patients were examined at the outpatient clinic at 1 and 3 months after surgery. Subsequent follow-up was performed either by the patient's general practitioner or in our institution.

#### Table 1. Baseline characteristics

Variables	Number (%) $n = 400$		
Age, year			
Median; IQR	64; 54-71		
Gender			
Male	237 (59.2%)		
Female	163 (40.8%)		
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>			
Median; IQR	24.8; 22.2-28.0		
ASA PS classification			
1	12 (3.0%)		
2	274 (68.5%)		
3	113 (28.3%)		
4	1 (0.3%)		
Diagnosis			
Primary liver tumor	87 (21.8%)		
Extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma	21 (5.3%)		
Liver metastases	207 (51.8%)		
Echinococcosis	43 (10.8%)		
Adenoma	12 (3.0%)		
Others	30 (7.5%)		
Preoperative treatment			
None	221 (55.3%)		
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy	162 (40.5%)		
Radiotherapy	4 (1.0%)		
Radio-chemotherapy	13 (3.3%)		

Data are presented as median (IQR) or n (%). ASA PS classification, American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification; BMI, body mass index. Follow-up included clinical examination, tumor markers levels, serological tests, and imaging. Recurrence was diagnosed based on imaging findings, clinical data, and/ or histopathological studies.

#### Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were expressed as n (%) and were compared between groups using Fisher's exact test or the chi-square test, as appropriate. Continuous variables were expressed as median (interquartile range [IQR]) and were compared using Wilcoxon's rank test. Overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival were calculated from the initial liver resection. Survival curves were determined using the Kaplan-Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. Values of p < 0.05were considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using JMP 13.2.0 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

# Results

#### Patients

We reviewed the records of 400 consecutive patients who underwent liver resections between January 2014 and February 2020. Patients' characteristics, including demographic, clinical, and pathological data, are summarized in Table 1. Their median age was 64 years (IQR: 54-71 years); 28.6 % had high American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification  $\geq$  3. Their pathological results were primary liver cancer (including hepatocellular carcinoma and intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma): 21.8%, extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (including perihilar cholangiocarcinoma and gallbladder cancer): 5.3%, liver metastases: 51.8%, echinococcosis: 10.8%, adenoma: 3.0%, and other diagnoses: 7.5%. About 21% of patients had liver resections for benign lesions. Among the liver metastases, 87.0% were of colorectal origin (Table 2). Preoperative treatments (for 44.7%) included neoadjuvant chemotherapy (40.5%), radiotherapy (1.0%), and radio-chemotherapy (3.3%).

Over time, we saw increasing percentages of elderly

Table 2. Details of l	iver metastases
-----------------------	-----------------

Liver metastases	Numbers (%) <i>n</i> = 207		
Colon cancer	120 (58.0%)		
Rectal cancer	60 (29.0%)		
Intestinal cancer	4 (1.9%)		
Breast cancer	5 (2.4%)		
GIST	2 (1.0%)		
NET	2 (1.0%)		
Others	14 (6.8%)		

Data are presented as n (%). GIST, gastrointestinal stromal tumour; NET, neuroendocrine tumor.



Figure 1. Percentage of hepatectomy in elderly patients. For the later 2017-2019 period, percentages of patients aged  $\geq$  75 years were 21.4% in 2017, 16.2% in 2018, 22.5% in 2019; and 20.5% in 2017-2019 overall, which was significantly greater than for the earlier 2014-2016 period (10.9%; *p* = 0.011).

patients -i.e.,  $\geq 75$  years old - were receiving liver resections (Figure 1). For the later 2017-2019 period, percentages of patients aged  $\geq 75$  years were 21.4% in 2017, 16.2% in 2018, 22.5% in 2019; and 20.5% in 2017-2019 overall, which was significantly greater than for the earlier 2014-2016 period (10.9%; p = 0.011; Figure 1).

## Surgical outcomes

Intra- and post- operative outcomes are summarized in Table 3. Median surgical time was 275 minutes (IQR: 190-353 minutes); median estimated blood loss was 600 mL (IQR: 300-1,000 mL). Major and minor hepatectomies were performed in 207 patients (51.8%) and 193 patients (48.2%), respectively. Laparoscopic approaches were used in 22.0% of procedures overall, but were used significantly more in the 2017-2019 period (32.5%) than in the 2014-2016 period (9.2%; p < 0.001; Figure 2). Overall morbidity (Clavien-Dindo classification  $\geq$  1) was 45.5%, with major complications (Clavien-Dindo classification  $\geq 3$ ) identified in 81 patients (20.3%), including 32 patients (8.0%) who needed another surgery within the same hospitalization because of biliary fistula (after biliodigestive anastomosis), bilioma, or surgical site infection. Clinically significant post-hepatectomy liver failure (International Study Group of Liver Surgery [ISGLS] grade  $\geq$  B) occurred in 10 patients (2.5%). Two patients (0.5%) died within 30 days, and five (1.3%) died within 90 days after surgery. Median length of hospital stay was 8 days (IQR: 6-14 days).

# Overall survival of patients with malignant lesions

Among patients with one or more malignant lesions,

Ta	ble	3.	Intra-	and	post- o	perative	outcomes

Variables	Number (%) $n = 400$	
Intraoperative outcomes		
Procedure		
Major hepatectomy	207 (51.8%)	
Minor hepatectomy	193 (48.2%)	
Hepatico-jejunostomy	41 (10.3%)	
Venous reconstruction	23 (5.8%)	
Approach		
Laparotomy	312 (78.0%)	
Laparoscopic	88 (22.0%)	
Operative time, min.		
Median; IQR	275; 190-353	
Estimated blood loss, ml		
Median; IQR	600; 300-1000	
RBC Transfusion	41 (10.3%)	
Postoperative morbidity		
Morbidity	182 (45.5%)	
Clavien-Dindo classification		
Ι	14 (3.5%)	
II	81 (20.2%)	
IIIa	42 (10.5%)	
IIIb	17 (4.3%)	
IVa	14 (3.5%)	
IVb	8 (2.0%)	
Clavien-Dindo classification $\geq 3$	81 (20.3%)	
Re-operation	32 (8.0%)	
ISGLS B/C	10 (2.5%)	
Mortality		
30-day	2 (0.5%)	
90-day	5 (1.3%)	
Postoperative length of stay, days		
Median; IQR	8; 6-14	

Data are presented as median (IQR) or n (%). ISGLS, the posthepatectomy liver failure defined by the International Study Group of Liver Surgery; RBC, red blood cells.



Figure 2. Percentage of laparoscopic/open approach. Laparoscopic approaches were used in 22.0% of procedures overall, but were used significantly more in the 2017-2019 period (32.5%) than in the 2014-2016 period (9.2%; p < 0.001).

the median follow-up period was 15.4 months (IQR: 11.3-29.7 months) for intrahepatic primary tumors, 13.8 months (IQR: 11.9-52.1 months) for liver metastases, and 12.0 months (IQR: 10.0-13.9 months) for extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (including



Figure 3. Overall survival of patients with malignant lesions. Extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma had significantly worse overall survival than did intrahepatic primary liver tumor or liver metastases (p < 0.001 for both).

perihilar cholangiocarcinoma and gallbladder cancer); these follow-up periods did not significantly differ (p = 0.080). The respective 12-, 24-, and 36-month OS rates were primary liver tumor: 85.6%, 57.5%, and 48.5%; liver metastases: 93.4%, 72.5%, and 51.2%; and cholangiocarcinoma (perihilar cholangiocarcinoma and gallbladder cancer): 63.5%, 16.7%, and 0%. Extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma had a significantly worse OS than did intrahepatic primary liver tumor or liver metastases (p < 0.001 for both; Figure 3).

#### Discussion

We evaluated results of liver surgery performed at our institution for the period 2014-2020. The major complication rate was 20.3%, and mortality was 0.5% at 30 days and 1.3% at 90 days after surgery – rates similar to those of other European countries.

Globally, hepatocellular carcinoma is the fifth-most common cancer and the second-most common cause of cancer-related death (18,19). In parallel, colorectal cancer (CRC) is a growing cause of cancer-associated death, about 30% of patients with CRC develop liver metastases (20-22). Although chemotherapy regimens have improved in recent years, liver resection is the main curative treatment for liver malignancies. However, liver failure remains the most feared postoperative complication, and is associated with high mortality (23-25). In the present study, significant post-hepatectomy liver failure (ISGLS grade  $\geq$  B) was 2.5% and mortality rate was 0.5% at 30 days and 1.3% at 90 days after surgery in the Lausanne University Hospital. To decrease mortality, many countries have refined their selection criteria, surgical techniques and perioperative management (26-28). In our institution, indications for liver resection are mainly based on Makuuchi's criteria (29). In other European countries, the Barcelona Clinic of Liver Cancer guideline is most widely used (30), and recommends liver resection only for patients without portal hypertension. However, several reports of liver resection in patients with portal hypertension have been published in recent years (31-34). Our institution has refined its selection guidelines to avoid excluding patients solely because of portal hypertension. In assessing preoperative liver function and future liver remnant volume and function, we routinely use ICG tests, CTscan volumetry, portal pressure measurement, and <sup>99m</sup>Tclabeled mebrofenin hepatobiliary scintigraphy (35,36). Since 2016, if functional volumetry does not portend a safe liver resection, our group has routinely performed ipsilateral hepatic vein embolization simultaneously with portal vein embolization ("liver venous deprivation"), which we have reported to be safe, as it induces greater and faster future liver remnant hypertrophy than portal vein embolization alone (37). We are now studying the relationship of indocyanine green retention rate, <sup>99m</sup>Tclabeled mebrofenin heaptobiliary scintigraphy and portal vein hypertension.

ERAS programs have been shown to improve postoperative outcomes of abdominal, orthopedic, urological and gynecological surgeries (38,39). Our institution has used ERAS protocols for liver surgery since July 2013, and had reported financial benefits of ERAS in liver surgery in 2016 (40,41). However, in a 2020 systematic review, we concluded that costreduction benefits from liver surgery ERAS were still unclear because of the small number of studies and high compliance variability (42). As more hospitals use ERAS programs, their utility should become more demonstrable.

We saw significantly more patients aged  $\geq 75$ years and more laparoscopic resections in the 2017-2019 period than in the earlier 2014-2016 period. Life expectancy continues to increase, in Switzerland and around the world, and surgery in elderly patients is increasingly common as perioperative management and surgical techniques have improved. Although major hepatectomy is no longer contraindicated in this age group (43), patients with diabetes have a higher risk of major complications and should be closely monitored in the postoperative course. As the patient pool continues to age, hepatobiliary surgeons will be challenged to improve preoperative evaluation/preparation techniques and preoperative management further, and to develop specific minimally invasive techniques (laparoscopic and/or robotic surgery).

## Conclusion

Liver resection has been consistently and safely performed in our institution. As our patient base ages, preoperative management and surgical techniques should be constantly improved. Many innovations and improvements are awaited, especially for evaluation of future liver remnant function and preoperative preparation.

# Funding: None.

*Conflict of Interest*: The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

# References

- Levi F, Lucchini F, La Vecchia C. Trends in cancer mortality in Switzerland, 1980-2001. Eur J Cancer Prev. 2006; 15:1-9.
- Schweiger A, Ammann RW, Candinas D, Clavien PA, Eckert J, Gottstein B, Halkic N, Muellhaupt B, Prinz BM, Reichen J, Tarr PE, Torgerson PR, Deplazes P. Human alveolar echinococcosis after fox population increase, Switzerland. Emerg Infect Dis. 2007; 13:878-882.
- Joliat GR, Melloul E, Petermann D, Demartines N, Gillet M, Uldry E, Halkic N. Outcomes after liver resection for hepatic alveolar echinococcosis: a single-center cohort study. World J Surg. 2015; 39:2529-2534.
- Torgerson PR, Schweiger A, Deplazes P, Pohar M, Reichen J, Ammann RW, Tarr PE, Halkic N, Müllhaupt B. Alveolar echinococcosis: from a deadly disease to a well-controlled infection. Relative survival and economic analysis in Switzerland over the last 35 years. J Hepatol. 2008; 49:72-77.
- 5. Ammann RW, Eckert J. Cestodes. Echinococcus. Gastroenterol Clin North Am. 1996; 25:655-689.
- Kern P, Wen H, Sato N, Vuitton DA, Gruener B, Shao Y, Delabrousse E, Kratzer W, Bresson-Hadni S. WHO classification of alveolar echinococcosis: principles and application. Parasitol Int. 2006; 55 Suppl:S283-S287.
- Imamura H, Seyama Y, Kokudo N, Maema A, Sugawara Y, Sano K, Takayama T, Makuuchi M. One thousand fifty-six hepatectomies without mortality in 8 years. Arch Surg. 2003; 138:1198-1206; discussion 1206.
- Dokmak S, Fteriche FS, Borscheid R, Cauchy F, Farges O, Belghiti J. 2012 Liver resections in the 21st century: we are far from zero mortality. HPB (Oxford). 2013; 15:908-915.
- Kenjo A, Miyata H, Gotoh M, Kitagawa Y, Shimada M, Baba H, Tomita N, Kimura W, Sugihara K, Mori M. Risk stratification of 7,732 hepatectomy cases in 2011 from the National Clinical Database for Japan. J Am Coll Surg. 2014; 218:412-422.
- Zaydfudim VM, Kerwin MJ, Turrentine FE, Bauer TW, Adams RB, Stukenborg GJ. The impact of chronic liver disease on the risk assessment of ACS NSQIP morbidity and mortality after hepatic resection. Surgery. 2016; 159:1308-1315.
- 11. Llovet JM, Schwartz M, Mazzaferro V. Resection and liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma. Semin Liver Dis. 2005; 25:181-200.
- Poon RT, Fan ST, Lo CM, Liu CL, Ngan H, Ng IO, Wong J. Hepatocellular carcinoma in the elderly: results of surgical and nonsurgical management. Am J Gastroenterol. 1999; 94:2460-2466.
- Hanazaki K, Kajikawa S, Shimozawa N, Shimada K, Hiraguri M, Koide N, Adachi W, Amano J. Hepatic resection for hepatocellular carcinoma in the elderly. J Am Coll Surg. 2001; 192:38-46.
- 14. Wakabayashi G, Cherqui D, Geller DA, *et al.* Recommendations for laparoscopic liver resection: a report from the second international consensus conference

held in Morioka. Ann Surg. 2015; 261:619-629.

- 15. Kawaguchi Y, Hasegawa K, Wakabayashi G, Cherqui D, Geller DA, Buell JF, Kaneko H, Han HS, Strasberg SM, Kokudo N. Survey results on daily practice in open and laparoscopic liver resections from 27 centers participating in the second International Consensus Conference. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci. 2016; 23:283-288.
- 16. Adamina M, Gie O, Demartines N, Ris F. Contemporary perioperative care strategies. Br J Surg. 2013; 100:38-54.
- Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. Ann Surg. 2004; 240:205-213.
- Global Burden of Disease Liver Cancer Collaboration, Akinyemiju T, Abera S, *et al.* The Burden of Primary Liver Cancer and Underlying Etiologies From 1990 to 2015 at the Global, Regional, and National Level: Results From the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. JAMA Oncol. 2017; 3:1683-1691.
- European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Management of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol. 2018; 69:182-236.
- 20. Adam R, De Gramont A, Figueras J, *et al*. The oncosurgery approach to managing liver metastases from colorectal cancer: a multidisciplinary international consensus. Oncologist. 2012; 17:1225-1239.
- Manfredi S, Lepage C, Hatem C, Coatmeur O, Faivre J, Bouvier AM. Epidemiology and management of liver metastases from colorectal cancer. Ann Surg. 2006; 244:254-259.
- 22. Hackl C, Neumann P, Gerken M, Loss M, Klinkhammer-Schalke M, Schlitt HJ. Treatment of colorectal liver metastases in Germany: a ten-year populationbased analysis of 5772 cases of primary colorectal adenocarcinoma. BMC Cancer. 2014; 14:810.
- 23. Virani S, Michaelson JS, Hutter MM, Lancaster RT, Warshaw AL, Henderson WG, Khuri SF, Tanabe KK. Morbidity and mortality after liver resection: results of the patient safety in surgery study. J Am Coll Surg. 2007; 204:1284-1292.
- 24. Cescon M, Vetrone G, Grazi GL, Ramacciato G, Ercolani G, Ravaioli M, Del Gaudio M, Pinna AD. Trends in perioperative outcome after hepatic resection: analysis of 1500 consecutive unselected cases over 20 years. Ann Surg. 2009; 249:995-1002.
- 25. Andreou A, Vauthey JN, Cherqui D, Zimmitti G, Ribero D, Truty MJ, Wei SH, Curley SA, Laurent A, Poon RT, Belghiti J, Nagorney DM, Aloia TA; International Cooperative Study Group on Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Improved long-term survival after major resection for hepatocellular carcinoma: a multicenter analysis based on a new definition of major hepatectomy. J Gastrointest Surg. 2013; 17:66-77; discussion p.77.
- 26. Kokudo T, Hasegawa K, Kokudo N. Assessment of preoperative liver function based on indocyanine green clearance. Hepatology. 2017; 66:675-676.
- Citterio D, Facciorusso A, Sposito C, Rota R, Bhoori S, Mazzaferro V. Hierarchic interaction of factors associated with liver decompensation after resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. JAMA Surg. 2016; 151:846-853.
- Donadon M, Costa G, Cimino M, Procopio F, Fabbro DD, Palmisano A, Torzilli G. Safe hepatectomy selection criteria for hepatocellular carcinoma patients: a validation of 336 consecutive hepatectomies. The BILCHE score.

World J Surg. 2015; 39:237-243.

- Makuuchi M, Kosuge T, Takayama T, Yamazaki S, Kakazu T, Miyagawa S, Kawasaki S. Surgery for small liver cancers. Seminars in surgical oncology. 1993; 9:298-304.
- Bruix J, Reig M, Sherman M. Evidence-based diagnosis, staging, and treatment of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterology. 2016; 150:835-853.
- Capussotti L, Ferrero A, Vigano L, Muratore A, Polastri R, Bouzari H. Portal hypertension: contraindication to liver surgery? World J Surg. 2006; 30:992-999.
- 32. Ishizawa T, Hasegawa K, Aoki T, Takahashi M, Inoue Y, Sano K, Imamura H, Sugawara Y, Kokudo N, Makuuchi M. Neither multiple tumors nor portal hypertension are surgical contraindications for hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterology. 2008; 134:1908-1916.
- Ruzzenente A, Valdegamberi A, Campagnaro T, Conci S, Pachera S, Iacono C, Guglielmi A. Hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients with portal hypertension: is liver resection always contraindicated? World J Gastroenterol. 2011; 17:5083-5088.
- 34. Boleslawski E, Petrovai G, Truant S, Dharancy S, Duhamel A, Salleron J, Deltenre P, Lebuffe G, Mathurin P, Pruvot FR. Hepatic venous pressure gradient in the assessment of portal hypertension before liver resection in patients with cirrhosis. Br J Surg. 2012; 99:855-863.
- Tomassini F, D'Asseler Y, Linecker M, *et al.* Hepatobiliary scintigraphy and kinetic growth rate predict liver failure after ALPPS: a multi-institutional study. HPB (Oxford). 2020; S1365-182X(20)30029-0.
- Rassam F, Olthof PB, Richardson H, van Gulik TM, Bennink RJ. Practical guidelines for the use of technetium-99m mebrofenin hepatobiliary scintigraphy in the quantitative assessment of liver function. Nucl Med Commun. 2019; 40:297-307.
- 37. Kobayashi K, Yamaguchi T, Denys A, Perron L, Halkic N, Demartines N, Melloul E. Liver venous deprivation compared to portal vein embolization to induce hypertrophy of the future liver remnant before major hepatectomy: A single center experience. Surgery. 2020; 167:917-923.

- Adamina M, Kehlet H, Tomlinson GA, Senagore AJ, Delaney CP. Enhanced recovery pathways optimize health outcomes and resource utilization: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials in colorectal surgery. Surgery. 2011; 149:830-840.
- Varadhan KK, Neal KR, Dejong CH, Fearon KC, Ljungqvist O, Lobo DN. The enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) pathway for patients undergoing major elective open colorectal surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Clin Nutr. 2010; 29:434-440.
- Joliat GR, Labgaa I, Hubner M, Blanc C, Griesser AC, Schafer M, Demartines N. Cost-benefit analysis of the implementation of an enhanced recovery program in liver surgery. World J Surg. 2016; 40:2441-2450.
- Melloul E, Hubner M, Scott M, Snowden C, Prentis J, Dejong CH, Garden OJ, Farges O, Kokudo N, Vauthey JN, Clavien PA, Demartines N. Guidelines for perioperative care for liver surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations. World J Surg. 2016; 40:2425-2440.
- 42. Joliat GR, Hubner M, Roulin D, Demartines N. Cost analysis of enhanced recovery programs in colorectal, pancreatic, and hepatic surgery: a systematic review. World J Surg. 2020; 44:647-655.
- Melloul E, Halkic N, Raptis DA, Tempia A, Demartines N. Right hepatectomy in patients over 70 years of age: an analysis of liver function and outcome. World J Surg. 2012; 36:2161-2170.

Received June 25, 2020; Revised September 23, 2020; Accepted September 28, 2020.

Released online in J-STAGE as advance publication October 2, 2020

#### \*Address correspondence to:

Nermin Halkic, Department of Visceral Surgery, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Rue du Bugnon 46, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland.

E-mail: Nermin.Halkic@chuv.ch